

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLEOTHA EDWARDS,
Plaintiff,

v.

ROSENLOP,
Defendant.

No. 2:23-cv-00071-DJC-JDP (PC)

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On August 4, 2023, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on Plaintiff and which contained notice to Plaintiff that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The Magistrate Judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the Court finds

1 the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper
2 analysis. In addition, the Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's April 7, 2023
3 Order (ECF No. 8) and the June 20, 2023 Order (ECF no. 9) which the Court finds are
4 also supported by the record and the proper analysis.

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 6 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 4, 2023, are adopted in full;
- 7 2. This action is dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with
8 court orders for the reasons set forth in the June 20, 2023 and April 7, 2023 orders;
9 and
- 10 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to close the case.

11 IT IS SO ORDERED.

12 Dated: September 8, 2023


Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE